Journal of Medicinal Chemistry

Addition/Correction

Subscriber access provided by American Chemical Society

Highly Potent 1,4-Benzo-thiazine Derivatives as K-Channel Openers

Violetta Cecchetti, Vincenzo Calderone, Oriana Tabarrini, Stefano Sabatini, Enrica Filipponi, Lara Testai, Roberto Spogli, Enrica Martinotti, and Arnaldo Fravolini J. Med. Chem., 2005, 48 (21), 6766-6766• DOI: 10.1021/jm0580407 • Publication Date (Web): 17 September 2005 Downloaded from http://pubs.acs.org on March 29, 2009

More About This Article

Additional resources and features associated with this article are available within the HTML version:

- Supporting Information
- Access to high resolution figures
- Links to articles and content related to this article
- Copyright permission to reproduce figures and/or text from this article

View the Full Text HTML



Additions and Corrections

2003, Volume 46

Violetta Cecchetti,* Vincenzo Calderone, Oriana Tabarrini, Stefano Sabatini, Enrica Filipponi, Lara Testai, Roberto Spogli, Enrica Martinotti, and Arnaldo Fravolini: Highly Potent 1,4-Benzothiazine Derivatives as K_{ATP}-Channel Openers.

Pages 3670-3679. The structure of compound 4a, referred to as 2,2-dimethyl-6-nitro-4-(2-oxo-1-pyrrolidinyl)-3,4-dihydro-2*H*-1,4-benzothiazine (original 4a), was found to be incorrect. The structure and biological activity should correspond instead to the structure of 2,2-dimethyl-4-nitroso-6-(2-oxo-1-pyrrolidinyl)-3,4-dihydro-2*H*-1,4-benzothiazine (revised 4a).



It was unexpectedly obtained from 6-amino-2,2-dimethyl-4-nitroso-3,4-dihydro-2*H*-1,4-benzothiazine, which is a side product formed in the reduction of 6-nitro-4nitrosobenzothiazine derivative **17** to the 4-amino-6nitro derivative **19** (see Scheme 3, page 3673). This evidence was discovered during an in-depth conformational study of this class of highly potent $K_{ATP}CO_S$, which also included X-ray analyses. Details of the study will be published separately, and the crystallographic data of revised **4a** have been deposited with the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre (CCDC 271510).

Page 3672. In Table 1, the row **4a** should read $R_4 =$ NO and $R_6 =$ 2-oxo-1-pyrrolidinyl. In Table 2, the structure was misdrawn. The correct structure for Table 2 is shown below:



Page 3676. In lines 20-21, first column, the chemical name in the pararaph heading should be replaced with "2,2-Dimethyl-4-nitroso-6-(2-oxo-1-pyrrolidinyl)-3,4-dihydro-2H-1,4-benzothiazine Revised 4a." The authentic derivative 4a (original 4a) was synthesized, as depicted in Scheme 3 (page 3673), and its analytical data and vasorelaxant activity are reported below. 2,2-Dimethyl-6-nitro-4-(2-oxo-1-pyrrolidinyl)-3,4dihydro-2H-1,4-benzothiazine (original 4a) was prepared using the procedure described for 3a, starting from 4-amino-6-nitrobenzothiazine 19. It was purified by column chromatography, eluting with cyclohexane/ EtOAc (1:1): yield 58%; mp 135–137 °C; ¹H NMR δ 1.49 and 1.52 (each 3H, s, CH₃), 2.15-2.70 (4H, m, CH₂CH₂N and CH₂CO), 3.33 and 3.78 (each 1H, d, J = 11.6 Hz, CH₂), 3.48–3.61 and 3.62–3.75 (each 1H, m, CH₂CH₂N), 7.14 (1 H, d, J = 8.5 Hz, H-8), 7.43 (1 H, d, J = 2.3 Hz, H-5), 7.60 (1 H, dd, J = 2.3 and 8.5 Hz, H-7); MS m/z(rel intens) 307 (47), 277 (3), 264 (8), 222 (45), 207 (100), 194 (10), 181 (6), 161 (13), 135 (13).

The vasorelaxant activity of the original 4a, evaluated in aortic rings precontracted with 20 mM KCl (as reported in the Experimental Section), is $E_{\text{max}} = 100\%$, $pIC_{50} \pm SEM = 9.84 \pm 0.15$. Thus, the pharmacological behavior found for the original 4a is in perfect agreement with the SAR delineated for this KATPCO benzothiazine class, unlike what was previously shown by the revised 4a because of its peculiar structure. In particular, it can now be observed that the original **4a** exhibits a potency comparable to that of 4d (pIC₅₀ = 9.13), and this is in agreement with the nearly equivalent potencies shown by the analogous couple 3a and 3d (pIC₅₀ = 6.91 and 7.06, respectively). While, the revised 4a showed a potency (pIC₅₀ = 6.42) that was unexpectedly much lower than that of 4d. Moreover, revised 4a showed a dramatic decrease in potency when compared with 4c (~4.5 log units) while the original 4ais only 1 log unit less potent than **4c**; this difference in potency is substantially comparable to those exhibited by **3a** vs **3c** (\sim 1 log unit) and **6a** vs **6c** (\sim 2 log units).

JM0580407

10.1021/jm0580407 Published on Web 09/17/2005